arXiv:1704.04756v1 [hep-ph] 16 Apr 2017

An analytic solution to the spin flavor precession for solar Majorana neutrinos in the case of three neutrino generations

D. Yilmaz

Department of Physics Engineering, Ankara University, Faculty of Engineering

06100 Tandogan, Ankara, TURKEY

e-mail:dyilmaz@eng.ankara.edu.tr

April 18, 2017

Abstract

We investigate the spin flavor precession (SFP) in the three neutrino generation case assuming that the neutrinos are Majorana type. An approximate analytical formula including all neutrino parameters and all types of neutrino magnetic moments is provided for the electron neutrino survival probability in the SFP framework. The accuracy of the formula is checked out for two different magnetic field profiles in the Sun.

1 INTRODUCTION

The combined analysis of the solar neutrino experiments [1-8] and reactor antineutrino experiment [9-10] established to confirm the neutrino oscillation strongly indicates the so-called large mixing angle (LMA) region of the neutrino parameter space [11-16]. In a minimal extension of the standard model, neutrinos have mass; hence they also have magnetic moment. In addition to the limits on the neutrino magnetic moments obtained by experimental and theoretical studies [17-23], an upper bound was recently obtained by GEMMA experiments: $\mu_\nu < 2.9 \times 10^{-11}\mu_B$ at 90% CL [24]. One can also find detailed analyses and discussions on neutrino magnetic moment in the literature [25-30] When the neutrinos having nonzero magnetic moment propagate in a magnetic field, their spin can flip. Thus, a left handed neutrino becomes right handed neutrino, $\nu_{e_L} \to \nu_{e_R}$, which is deliberated as a possible solution to the solar neutrino deficit



Figure 1: Magnetic field profiles: (a) Gaussian shape; (b) Wood-Saxon shape.

[31]. When the matter effect is included, then a left handed neutrino becomes right handed another type of neutrino: $\nu_{e_L} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu_R}$ or ν_{τ_R} [32]. As distinct from the Dirac case in which right handed neutrino is considered as sterile which is not detectable by detectors, in the Majorana case right handed neutrino is called antineutrino which can be detectable. This mechanism called as spin flavor precession (SFP) has been studied in different aspects [33-44]. In addition to the neutrino magnetic moment, a magnetic field profile in the Sun has to be choosen in order to carry out the SFP analysis quantitatively. The strength of the magnetic field in the Sun is limited by the standard solar model [45, 46] such as $\sim$ 20 G near the solar surface [47], 20 kG - 300 kG at the convective zone [45] and $< 10^7$ G at the solar center [45]. In this study two plausible profiles are considered as given in [48]; the first one is of Gaussian type having a peak at the bottom of the convective zone (Figure 1.a) and the second one is of the Wood-Saxon type being maximal at the centre of the Sun (Figure 1.b).

In this paper, the SFP effect is studied in the case of three neutrino generations assuming that the neutrinos are Majorana type and the approximate analytical formula including all neutrino parameters and all types of neutrino magnetic moments is provided. The accuracy of the formula is checked out at the different θ_{12}, δm^2_{12} values and for two different magnetic field profiles in the Sun. In section 2, the formalism of the SFP mechanism is examined for the three neutrino generations. The deduction of the approximate analytical formula is given in the third section. Results and Conclusion are presented in the last section.

2 Spin Flavor Precession For The Three Neutrino Generations

In the case of three neutrino generations, the evolution equation for Majorana neutrinos passing through the matter and the magnetic field can be generated by using 6×6 rotational matrices consisting of the 3×3 standard PMNS (Pontecorvo, Maki, Nakata, Sakata) mixing matrix [49]:

$$T_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & R_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & R_{13} \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & R_{23} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

here,

$$R_{23}R_{13}R_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

where $c_{ij} = cos\theta_{ij}$ and $s_{ij} = sin\theta_{ij}$ and the δ is the CP-violating phase that we will ignore in our discussion. Hereafter, we will use some useful abbreviations, such as:

$$\begin{gathered} s_{ij}^2 = sin^2\theta_{ij} \qquad c_{ij}^2 = cos^2\theta_{ij} \\ s2_{ij} = sin(2\theta_{ij}) \qquad c2_{ij} = cos(2\theta_{ij}) \\ \Delta_{ij} = \frac{\delta m_{ij}^2}{2E} \end{gathered} \tag{3}$$

The evolution equation we end up with is

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \overline{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} = \mathscr{H}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \overline{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

here,

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_e \\ \varphi_\mu \\ \varphi_\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{13}\psi_e - s_{13}\tilde{\psi}_\tau \\ \tilde{\psi}_\mu \\ s_{13}\psi_e + c_{13}\tilde{\psi}_\tau \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_\mu &= c_{23}\psi_\mu - s_{23}\psi_\tau \\ \tilde{\psi}_\tau &= s_{23}\psi_\mu + c_{23}\psi_\tau \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Identical expressions are true for antineutrinos (just put a bar above). In the evalution equation above, $\mathscr{H}$ is

$$\mathscr{H} = \begin{pmatrix} H & \mu B \\ -\mu B & \overline{H} \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

here , after we have substracted off overall phase from the Hamiltonian, H, $\overline{H}$ and μB are

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\left(c_{13}^2 V_c - c2_{12}\Delta_{21}\right) & \frac{s2_{12}\Delta_{21}}{2} & c_{13}s_{13}V_c \\ \frac{s2_{12}\Delta_{21}}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\left(-c_{13}^2 V_c + c2_{12}\Delta_{21}\right) & 0 \\ c_{13}s_{13}V_c & 0 & V_c - \frac{3c_{13}^2 V_c}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta_{31}+\Delta_{32}\right) \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

$$\overline{H} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{11} & \frac{1}{2}s2_{12}\Delta_{21} & -c_{13}s_{13}V_c \\ \frac{1}{2}s2_{12}\Delta_{21} & D_{22} & 0 \\ -c_{13}s_{13}V_c & 0 & D_{33} \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

together with the diagonal elements

$$\begin{aligned} D_{11} &= -\tfrac{3}{2}c_{13}^2 V_c - 2V_n - \tfrac{1}{2}c2_{12}\Delta_{21} \\ D_{22} &= -\tfrac{1}{2}c_{13}^2 V_c - 2V_n + \tfrac{1}{2}c2_{12}\Delta_{21} \\ D_{33} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(-2 + c_{13}^2\right)V_c - 2V_n + \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Delta_{31}+\Delta_{32}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\mu B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu B_{12} & \mu B_{13} \\ -\mu B_{12} & 0 & \mu B_{23} \\ -\mu B_{13} & -\mu B_{23} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

here we defined

$$\begin{aligned} \mu B_{12} &= c_{13}c_{23}B_{e\mu} - c_{13}s_{23}B_{e\tau} + s_{13}B_{\mu\tau} \\ \mu B_{13} &= s_{23}B_{e\mu} + c_{23}B_{e\tau} \\ \mu B_{23} &= -c_{23}s_{13}B_{e\mu} + s_{13}s_{23}B_{e\tau} + c_{13}B_{\mu\tau} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The B_{ij} term is the $\mu_{ij}B$ product in which μ_{ij} is the transition magnetic moment (i and j denote the e, μ, τ) and B is the magnetic field. The matter potentials for the charged and the neutral current are given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_c &= \sqrt{2}G_F N_e \\ V_n &= -\tfrac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}N_n \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

here G_F is Fermi constant, N_e and N_n are electron and neutron density, respectively.

3 Deduction of The Electron Neutrino Survival Probability

We start with the evolution equation obtained at the section 2 for Majorana neutrinos passing through the matter and the magnetic field:

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \\ \overline{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} = \mathscr{H}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \\ \overline{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}$$

here φ and $\overline{\varphi}$ denote the three neutrino and anti-neutrino flavor part, respectively. The matrix of $\mathscr{H}$ can be splitted into the two parts as a matter and a magnetic part:

$$\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}_M + \mathscr{H}_B \tag{15}$$

here $\mathscr{H}_M$ and $\mathscr{H}_B$ are

$$\mathscr{H}_M = \begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \\ \\ 0 & \overline{H} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

$$\mathscr{H}_B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mu B \\ \\ -\mu B & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

We are going to solve the three-by-three blocks and use these solutions to solve the six-by-six matrix later.

Since the upper diagonal part of $\mathscr{H}_M$ is related to the neutrinos, the evolution equation for the neutrinos is

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_e \\ \\ \varphi_\mu \\ \\ \varphi_\tau \end{pmatrix} = H\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_e \\ \\ \varphi_\mu \\ \\ \varphi_\tau \end{pmatrix}. \tag{18}$$

Let' s split H into two parts as well:

$$H = H^0 + H^1 \tag{19}$$

here H^0 is

$$H^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\left(c_{13}^2 V_c - c2_{12}\Delta_{21}\right) & \frac{s2_{12}\Delta_{21}}{2} & 0 \\ \\ \frac{s2_{12}\Delta_{21}}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\left(-c_{13}^2 V_c + c2_{12}\Delta_{21}\right) & 0 \\ \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \tag{20}$$

where b is

$$b = V_c - \frac{3c_{13}^2 V_c}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta_{31} + \Delta_{32}\right). \tag{21}$$

The evolution operator U_H for H satisfies the equation

$$i\frac{d}{dt}U_H = HU_H. \tag{22}$$

The solution to this equation can be sought by taking $U_H = U_H^0 U_H^1$. Since the equation, $i\frac{d}{dt}U_H^0 = H^0 U_H^0$, associated with H^0 is the standard 2×2 MSW equation with an independent third flavor, the solution can be chosen as

$$U_H^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & -\psi_2^* & 0 \\ \psi_2 & \psi_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \tag{23}$$

where $\psi_1(t)$, $\psi_2(t)$ are 2×2 MSW solutions with the initial conditions $\psi_1(t=0) = 1$, $\psi_2(t=0) = 0$ [11] and β is

$$\beta = e^{-i\int b\, dt}. \tag{24}$$

We now can find the complete solution to all of H by looking at H^1:

$$i\frac{\partial U_H^1}{\partial t} = {U_H^0}^\dagger H^1 U_H^0 U_H^1 = h(t) U_H^1 \tag{25}$$

Because θ_{13} is small, we can apply an approximation to the solution of this equation:

$$U_H^1 = exp(-i\int_0^t h(t')dt') = \left[1 - i\int_0^t h(t')dt' - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_0^{t'} h(t')h(t'')dt'dt'' + ...\right] \tag{26}$$

Then we have the whole solution for H

$$U_H = U_H^0 U_H^1. \tag{27}$$

The antineutrino part of $\mathscr{H}_M$, $\overline{H}$, can be similarly solved. After $\overline{U}_{\overline{H}}$ is obtained, the solution matrix for the $\mathscr{H}_M$ can be written as:

$$U_M = \begin{bmatrix} U_H & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{U}_{\overline{H}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{28}$$

Since the total evolution is characterized by $U = U_M U_B$, we need U_B which is the solution matrix of $\mathscr{H}_B$. By using the equation satisfied by the evolution operator of $\mathscr{H}$

$$i\frac{d}{dt}U = \mathscr{H}U \tag{29}$$

we can get

$$i\frac{d}{dt}U_B = (U_M^\dagger \mathscr{H}_B U_M)U_B = h_b(t)U_B \tag{30}$$

Because μB is small, U_B can also be found by applying an approximation up to the second order in μB

$$U_B = \left[1 - i\int_0^t h_b(t')dt' - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_0^{t'} h_b(t')h_b(t'')dt'dt'' + ...\right] \tag{31}$$

The state of the system evolves with a unitary operator from the initial state

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(t) \\ \overline{\varphi}(t) \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(t=0) \\ \overline{\varphi}(t=0) \end{pmatrix} \tag{32}$$

with

$$U = U_M U_B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{B} \end{pmatrix} \tag{33}$$

where A, B, C and D are 3×3 matrices. The electron neutrino amplitude can be written from the Eq. (5) as

$$\psi_e = c_{13}\varphi_e + s_{13}\varphi_\tau, \tag{34}$$

Since the elements of $\overline{\varphi}(t)$ at $t = 0$ is zero, it is enough to look at the A matrix only to obtained φ_e and φ_τ.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_e \\ \varphi_\mu \\ \varphi_\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} \\ 0 \\ s_{13} \end{pmatrix} \tag{35}$$

Therefore, one can find

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_e &= A_{11}c_{13} + A_{13}s_{13} \\ \varphi_\tau &= A_{31}c_{13} + A_{33}s_{13}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

The highly oscillating integrations coming out in the solution matrix elements are ignored. However, stationary phase approximation method [50] can be used for the other integrals in which the SFP resonance width is considerably small as mentioned in [41]. After the terms that have higher order than s_{13}^2 are ignored, only A_{11}, A_{31} and A_{33} matrix elements left:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11} &= \psi_1(t)\left(1 - \tfrac{1}{4}\tfrac{2\pi\Gamma_{\mu B}^2}{|d(\chi-2\kappa)/dt|_{(\chi-2\kappa)=0}}|\psi_1(t_R)|^2\right) \\ A_{31} &= -ic_{13}s_{13}e^{-i\int_0^t b\,dt'}\int_0^t dt' V_c(t') e^{i\int_0^{t'} b\,dt''}\psi_1(t') \\ A_{33} &= e^{-i\int_0^t b\,dt'} \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

here,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mu B} &= \mu_{eff} B \\ \mu_{eff} &= c_{13}c_{23}\mu_{e\mu} - c_{13}s_{23}\mu_{e\tau} + s_{13}\mu_{\mu\tau} \\ \kappa &= \tfrac{\Delta_{21}}{2} c2_{12} \\ \chi &= \tfrac{G_f}{\sqrt{2}}(2N_e - 2N_n) \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Substituting φ_e and φ_τ and the terms, A_{11}, A_{31} and A_{33} into ψ_e we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_e = \psi_1(t)c_{13}^2 \left(1 - \tfrac{1}{4}\tfrac{2\pi\Gamma_{\mu B}^2}{|d(\chi-2\kappa)/dt|_{(\chi-2\kappa)=0}}|\psi_1(t_R)|^2\right) \\ -ic_{13}^2 s_{13}^2 e^{-i\int_0^t b\,dt'}\int_0^t dt' V_c(t') e^{i\int_0^{t'} b\,dt''}\psi_1(t') + s_{13}^2 e^{-i\int_0^t b\,dt'}\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

here, integral can be solved by using the same method given in [11].

One can finally get the electron survival probability for three neutrino generations in the SFP framework by ignoring the terms that have higher order than $(\mu B)^2$

$$\begin{aligned}P_{3\times3}(\nu_e \to \nu_e, \mu B \neq 0) = c_{13}^4 P_{2\times2}(\nu_e \to \nu_e \ \text{ with } \ N_e c_{13}^2, \mu B = 0)\left(1 - \tfrac{1}{2}\tfrac{2\pi\Gamma_{\mu B}^2}{|d(\chi-2\kappa)/dt|_{(\chi-2\kappa)=0}}|\psi_1(t_R)|^2\right) \\ +s_{13}^4\left[1 + 2\xi c_{13}^2 + \xi^2 c_{13}^4\right]\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where,

$$\xi = \frac{V_c(t=0)}{\Delta_{31}}. \tag{41}$$

4 Results and Conclusions

In this paper, we have examined the SFP mechanism in the three neutrino generations and obtained an approximate analytical formula including all neutrino parameters and all types of neutrino magnetic moments. The accuracy of the approximate solution obtained by the formula is checked out by comparing with the exact solution obtained numerically by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian in (4) for two different magnetic field profiles in the Sun. In the calculations, Gaussian (Figure 1.a) and the Wood-Saxon shape (Figure 1.b) of magnetic field profiles extending over the entire Sun are choosen [48]. Results are presented at different θ_{12}, δm_{12}^2 values in which one couple is the MSW-LMA best fit values, ($\delta m_{12}^2 = 7.54 \times 10^{-5} eV^2$, $\theta_{12} = 33.7^\circ$).

Electron neutrino survival probabilities obtained by using exact (solid lines) and approximate solution (dashed lines) for the 10 MeV neutrino energy are shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3 with the errors (dotted-dashed lines) for Gaussian and the Wood-Saxon shape of magnetic field profiles, respectively. The error values of neutrino parameters are taken from [49]. In these figures one can see at what values of $\mu_{eff}B$ the approximate solution works well.

Figure 4 and Figure 5 show the percent accuracy regions at different θ_{12} and δm_{12}^2 values for Gaussian and the Wood-Saxon shape of magnetic field profiles, respectively. In these figures, compared to the results from the exact solution, how reliable the approximate analytical formula is shown. The accuracy rates

are given between 100% and 50%. The results obtained from the formula are quite compatible with the exact solution results (almost in 99.9 percent accuracy) at $\delta m^2_{12} = 7.54 \times 10^{-5} eV^2$ for Gaussian magnetic field profile and at $\delta m^2_{12} = 1 \times 10^{-7} eV^2$ for Wood-Saxon magnetic field profile for almost all $\mu_{eff} B$ values and neutrino energies. Besides, for the MSW-LMA best fit values (upper right panels of each figures), we have 99.9 percent accuracy as well nearly up to the $1 - 2 \times 10^{-6} \mu_B G$ value of $\mu_{eff} B$ which is a sufficiently high value for the Sun at all neutrino energies for both magnetic field profile. Additionally, it is seen that for $\delta m^2_{12} = 7.54 \times 10^{-5} eV^2$, the formula is also highly reliable at low neutrino energies even for high enough values of $\mu_{eff} B$.

As a conclusion, as seen from the figures, the formula obtained here can be useful when the data obtained by new low energy solar neutrino experiments such as SNO+ [51] is analyzed in the SFP framework.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank A. Baha Balantekin and Annelise Malkus for the insightful discussions and their invaluable suggestions. I also thank YÖK (Council of Higher Education) for the grant to support my research at the University of Wisconsin, Madison.

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] Q. R. Ahmad *et al.* [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 071301 (2001) [arXiv:nucl-ex/0106015].

[2] B. Aharmim *et al.* [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **75**, 045502 (2007) [arXiv:nucl-ex/0610020].

[3] S. Fukuda *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5651 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ex/0103032].

[4] S. Fukuda *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **539**, 179 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ex/0205075].

[5] B. T. Cleveland *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **496**, 505 (1998).

[6] J. N. Abdurashitov *et al.* [SAGE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4686 (1999) [arXiv:astro-ph/9907131].

[7] W. Hampel *et al.* [GALLEX Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **447**, 127 (1999).

[8] M. Altmann *et al.* [GNO Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **490**, 16 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ex/0006034].

[9] K. Eguchi *et al.* [KamLAND Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 021802 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ex/0212021].

[10] T. Araki *et al.* [KamLAND Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 081801 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ex/0406035].

[11] A. B. Balantekin and H. Yuksel, J. Phys. G **29**, 665 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0301072].

[12] J. N. Bahcall and C. Pena-Garay, JHEP **0311**, 004 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0305159].

[13] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and C. Pena-Garay, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 093003 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0306001].

[14] P. C. de Holanda and A. Y. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 113002 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0307266].

[15] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone and A. Palazzo, Phys. Lett. B **583**, 149 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0309100].

[16] J. N. Bahcall, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and C. Pena-Garay, JHEP **0408**, 016 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0406294].

[17] G. G. Raffelt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2856 (1990).

[18] J. M. Lattimer and J. Cooperstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 23 (1988).

[19] R. Barbieri and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 27 (1988).

[20] D. W. Liu *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 021802 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ex/0402015].

[21] H. T. Wong *et al.* [TEXONO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **75**, 012001 (2007). [hep-ex/0605006].

[22] Z. Daraktchieva *et al.* [MUNU Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **615**, 153 (2005) [hep-ex/0502037].

[23] D. K. Papoulias and T. S. Kosmas, Phys. Lett. B **747**, 454 (2015) [arXiv:1506.05406 [hep-ph]].

[24] A. G. Beda *et al.*, Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. **10**, 139 (2013).

[25] J. F. Beacom and P. Vogel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 5222 (1999) [hep-ph/9907383].

[26] N. F. Bell, V. Cirigliano, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, P. Vogel and M. B. Wise, AIP Conf. Proc. **842**, 874 (2006) [hep-ph/0601005].

[27] M. Gorchtein, N. F. Bell, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, P. Vogel and P. Wang, AIP Conf. Proc. **903**, 287 (2007) [hep-ph/0610388].

[28] A. B. Balantekin AIP Conf. Proc. **847**, 128 (2006) [hep-ph/0601113].

[29] A. Studenikin, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **188**, 220 (2009) [arXiv:0812.4716 [hep-ph]].

[30] C. Broggini, C. Giunti and A. Studenikin, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2012**, 459526 (2012) [arXiv:1207.3980 [hep-ph]].

[31] A. Cisneros, Astrophys. Space Sci. **10**, 87 (1971). doi:10.1007/BF00654607

[32] C. S. Lim and W. J. Marciano, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 1368 (1988).

[33] L. B. Okun, M. B. Voloshin and M. I. Vysotsky, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **44**, 440 (1986) [Yad. Fiz. **44**, 677 (1986)].

[34] E. K. Akhmedov, Phys. Lett. B **213**, 64 (1988).

[35] E. K. Akhmedov and M. Y. Khlopov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **3**, 451 (1988).

[36] R. Barbieri and G. Fiorentini, Nucl. Phys. B **304**, 909 (1988).

[37] A. B. Balantekin, P. J. Hatchell and F. Loreti, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 3583 (1990).

[38] A. A. Bykov, V. Y. Popov, T. I. Rashba and V. B. Semikoz, arXiv:hep-ph/0002174.

[39] E. K. Akhmedov and J. Pulido, Phys. Lett. B **553**, 7 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0209192].

[40] B. C. Chauhan, J. Pulido and E. Torrente-Lujan, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 033015 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0304297].

[41] A. B. Balantekin and C. Volpe, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 033008 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0411148].

[42] D. Yilmaz and A. U. Yilmazer, J. Phys. G **31**, 57 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0702029].

[43] D. Yilmaz and A. U. Yilmazer, J. Phys. G **31**, 1123 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0702057].

[44] D. Yilmaz, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2016**, 1435191 (2016) [arXiv:1601.03161 [hep-ph]].

[45] S. Couvidat, S. Turck-Chieze and A. G. Kosovichev, Astrophys. J. **599**, 1434 (2003).

[46] J. N. Bahcall and M. H. Pinsonneault, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 121301 (2004) [astro-ph/0402114].

[47] H. M. Antia, S. M. Chitre and M. J. Thompson, Astron. Astrophys. **360**, 335 (2000) [astro-ph/0005587].

[48] C. R. Das, J. Pulido and M. Picariello, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 073010 (2009) [arXiv:0902.1310 [hep-ph]].

[49] Particle Data Group Collaboration, *Chin. Phys. C* **38**, 090001 (2014)

[50] A. B. Balantekin, J. M. Fetter and F. N. Loreti, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 3941 (1996) [arXiv:astro-ph/9604061].

[51] C. Kraus *et al.* [SNO+ Collaboration], Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **64**, 273 (2010).



Figure 2: Survival probabilities for the 10 MeV neutrino energy at different θ_{12} and δm^2_{12} values for Gaussian shape of magnetic field profile. While the solid lines show the results obtained numerically, the dashed lines show the result obtained from the approximate analytical expression. The dotted-dashed lines show the errors. Each column (row) uses the same δm^2_{12} (θ_{12}) values.



Figure 3: Survival probabilities for the 10 MeV neutrino energy at different θ_{12} and δm^2_{12} values for Wood-Saxon shape of magnetic field profile. While the solid lines show the results obtained numerically, the dashed lines show the result obtained from the approximate analytical expression. The dotted-dashed lines show the errors. Each column (row) uses the same δm^2_{12} (θ_{12}) values.



Figure 4: Percent accuracy regions at different θ_{12} and δm^2_{12} values for Gaussian shape of magnetic field profile. The accuracy rates are given between 100% and 50%. Each column (row) uses the same δm^2_{12} (θ_{12}) values.



Figure 5: Percent accuracy regions at different θ_{12} and δm^2_{12} values for Wood-Saxon shape of magnetic field profile. The accuracy rates are given between 100% and 50%. Each column (row) uses the same δm^2_{12} (θ_{12}) values.